Modification of the DD Neutron Emission Spectrum at the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV Energy Range in Neutral-Beam-Injection-Heated Plasma and Its Application to Fuel Ion Ratio Diagnostics
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In neutral-beam-injection (NBI)-heated plasma, the emission spectra of the neutrons produced by D(d,n)³He and T(d,n)α fusion reactions are known to be distorted from Gaussian distribution functions in both the high and low energy sides along the NBI direction. This study shows that the effect of NBI heating can be applied to the central energy region, i.e., the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV range in the D(d,n)³He neutron emission spectrum. Conventionally, the intense spectrum anisotropy appears via the anisotropy in the double-differential D(d,n)³He cross-section. Herein, we have shown the anisotropy in the neutron emission spectrum of the central energy range (2.4 - 2.5 MeV) due to the alteration of the neutron emission spectrum (2.4 - 2.5 MeV). Caused by the modification of the deuteron velocity distribution function is large enough to negate the anisotropy caused by the double-differential D(d,n)³He cross-section. An application of the anisotropy effect to fuel-ion ratio diagnostics is discussed, and the attendant degree of improvement is evaluated.
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1. Introduction

In a burning plasma, fast ions are generated via fusion reactions and external heating, and an energetic non-Maxwellian component is formed in the ion-velocity distribution functions[1–6]. A rise in the fast-ion population induces a distortion of the emission spectra of fusion-produced particles from a Gaussian distribution [7] and enhances the D(d,n)³He fusion reaction rate coefficient, leading to an increase in the total emission rate. As an example of this, Fig. 1 indicates the neutron emission spectrum distortion. The solid line displays the emission spectrum distorted from the Gaussian distribution. The split line indicates the Gaussian distribution. The emission spectra distortion has been employed as a yardstick for investigating fast-ion diagnostics aimed at understanding the energetic ion characteristics in core plasma [8–11]. Herein, an α particle “knock-on” process was observed via the measurements of the deuterium-tritium (DT) neutron emission spectra distortion [8,9]. The fast-ion distributions that emerged following an experiment involving third harmonic ICRF heating on deuterium beams using neutron emission spectrometry were also examined [10]. Furthermore, a novel method was proposed for determining the fuel-ion ratio by measuring the distorted DT neutron emission spectra [11].

In neutral-beam-injection (NBI)-heated plasma, a non-Maxwellian component formed in the velocity distribution function exhibits anisotropy in a particular direction due to external beam injection. The emission spectrum generated through a fusion reaction is anisotropically distorted due to the velocity distribution function with an anisotropic non-Maxwellian component [from Gaussian distribution to the higher (lower) energy side toward (opposite to) the NBI direction]. It has been comprehensively analyzed using double-differential emission spectra [12]. In this study, the double-differential emission spectrum ex-
Fig. 2 Double differential emission spectra of neutron produced by T(d,n)α reaction as a function of energy and emission angle. The emission angle is defined as the angle between the direction of the emitted neutron and that of the beam injection in the laboratory system.

Fig. 3 Differential cross section of D(d,n)3He fusion reaction.

plains the emission rate of the particles emitted per unit energy, unit solid angle in the laboratory system, and unit volume (as shown in Fig. 2). The anisotropy is also caused by the differential cross-section of the D(d,n)3He reaction (Fig. 3) [13]. As the beam energy increases, the neutrons produced by the D(d,n)3He reaction tend to be emitted in a forward direction toward the NBI. The neutron emission rate measured at the neutron flux monitor near the equatorial port was reported to be greater than that measured above the LHD central axis in large helical device (LHD)-based deuterium plasma experiments using tangential NBI [14, 15].

To date, numerous studies on the large distortion of the high-energy side along the NBI direction and its practical applications have been carried out [16, 17]. However, the effect on the neutron emission spectrum at the central energy region (2.4 - 2.5 MeV for DD neutrons and 13.5 - 15 MeV for DT neutron) has not yet been comprehensively discussed. Just as the distortion toward both high (above 2.7 MeV for DD neutrons) and low (below 2.2 MeV for DD neutrons) energy sides are due to the NBI heating, the central energy region of the emission spectrum is also modified, such as anisotropy along with the increase in emission rate. Modification in this region can lead to an improvement in the diagnostic performance, thus, studying the properties and the plasma-parameter dependency is important.

A method for measuring the central energy region of neutron spectra involves fuel ion diagnostics using neutron measurements [18–22]. From the measurement of the intensity of each neutron, the fuel ion ratio, \( n_t/n_d \), is given as follows [18]:

\[
\frac{n_t}{n_d} = \frac{R_{DT} < \sigma v >_{DD}}{2R_{DD} < \sigma v >_{DT}}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( n_t \) and \( n_d \) are the triton and deuteron number densities, respectively. \( R_{DT(DD)} \) is the DT (DD) reaction rate and \( <\sigma v>_{DT(DD)} \) is the DT (DD) reaction rate coefficient.

A serious issue of this diagnostics is that the detection of DD neutron (signal) is interfered with by the slowing-down component of DT neutrons in the form of noise. In the case of thermal plasma, the detectable parameter range has been reported as \( n_t/n_d < 0.6 \) and \( T_e > 6 \text{ keV} \) [19]. To improve the measurement performance, a technique using the increase in the fraction of the DD to DT reaction rate during NBI heating was proposed [19, 22]. However, this technique has not been examined in terms of the various plasma parameters [19, 22]. Besides, the neutron emission spectra were assumed to be isotropic.

In this study, we evaluated the modification at the central energy region (2.4 - 2.5 MeV for DD neutrons) of the neutron emission spectrum due to NBI heating. It was assumed that the neutrons were produced by the D(d,n)3He reaction in NBI-heated DT plasma (e.g., international thermonuclear experimental reactor (ITER)-like plasma). The enhancement of the measurement performance of fuel-ion ratio diagnostics using anisotropic neutron emission spectra was also discussed as an example of the implementation of this specific modification. Section 2 describes the method of calculation and the model for transporting neutrons. Results and discussion are shown in Sec. 3. Finally, the concluding remarks are given in Sec. 4.

2. Calculation Methods

2.1 Double differential neutron emission spectrum

2.1.1 2-dimensional ion velocity distribution function in NBI-heated plasma

Figure 4 shows the velocity distribution function of the beam-injected deuterium. With the assumption of the NBI-heated DT plasma (approximately 300 MW, electron density \( n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \), electron temperature \( T_e = 10 \text{ keV} \), beam power \( P_{NBi} = 33 \text{ MW} \), beam energy \( E_{NBi} = 1.0 \text{ MeV} \).
and plasma volume $V_p = 800 \text{ m}^3$), a fast-deuteron slowing-down distribution was obtained. This velocity distribution function was calculated using the analytic model written as follows [23]:

$$f_b(v, \mu) = \frac{S^0\tau_s}{v^3 + v_c^3} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l + 1)}{2} P_l(\mu_b) P_d(\mu) \times \left[ \left( \frac{v^3}{v_c^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{v}{v_c} \right] U(v_c - v), \quad (2)$$

where $S^0$ is the number of beam particles per unit volume, $\tau_s$ is the Spitzer slowing-down time, $P_l$ is the Legendre polynomials, $\mu_b$ is the beam incident pitch angle, $v_b$ is the incident beam particle velocity, $v_c$ is the critical velocity at which ions and electrons lose their energies by collisions at equal rates, $Z_0$ is the effective charge of the background ions, and $U$ is the unit step function. Besides, the velocity distribution function of triton and deuteron (bulk ion components) was indicated to be a Maxwellian distribution. The relationship between the electron ($n_e$) and ion density ($n_i$) was assumed to be $n_i = n_e + n_{d}^{\text{bulk}} + n_{d}^{\text{beam}}$, where $n_e$ is triton density, $n_{d}^{\text{bulk}}$ is deuteron (bulk component) density, and $n_{d}^{\text{beam}}$ is beam deuteron density.

### 2.1.2 Calculation of the double-differential neutron emission spectrum

The double-differential neutron emission spectrum was calculated using the method described in Ref. [12], with the spectrum written as follows:

$$\frac{d^2 N_n}{dE d\Omega} (E, \theta_{lab}) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{DD(T)}} \int \int f_D(\vec{v}_D) f_{DT}(|\vec{v}_D - \vec{v}_T|)) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \times \delta(E - E_n) \delta(\Omega_{lab} - \Omega_n) n_i d\vec{v}_D d\vec{v}_{DT} d\Omega. \quad (3)$$

Here, $E_n$ is the neutron energy in the laboratory system [24]:

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} m_e V_e^2 + \frac{m_D^2 m_{\alpha}}{m_D + m_{\alpha}} (Q_{DD(DT)} + E_r) + V_c \cos \theta_e \left( \frac{2 m_D m_{\alpha}}{m_D + m_{\alpha}} (Q_{DD(DT)} + E_r) \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\theta_{lab}$ represents the angle between the direction of the emitted neutron and that of the beam injection in the laboratory system, $m_{\alpha}(D,e)$ is the neutron (3-helium, $\alpha$-particle) mass, $V_c$ is the center-of-mass velocity of the colliding particles, $\theta_e$ is the angle between the center-of-mass velocity and the neutron velocity in the center-of-mass frame, $Q_{DD(DT)}$ is each reaction’s $Q$-value. $\Omega_n$ represents a unit vector in the direction of the neutron emission in the laboratory system, which was determined using classical kinematics as a function of $\vec{v}_D$, $\vec{v}_{DT}$, and $\theta_e$. And $E_r$ represents the relative energy given by

$$E_r = \frac{1}{2} m_D m_{\alpha} |\vec{v}_D - \vec{v}_{DT}|. \quad (5)$$

### 2.2 Neutron transport calculation

#### 2.2.1 Blanket and collimator model

Figure 5 shows the computational schema of the blanket system with the incorporation of a collimator (detection surface). The blanket model presented a torus form with a major radius of 6.2 m and a minor radius of 2.0 m. The blanket material components and the layer thicknesses are shown in Table 1[25]. We considered an ITER-like blanket and ensured that the component material of each layer was homogeneous. The detector (collimator) was horizontally installed on the equatorial plane of the torus. The

![Fig. 4 2D velocity distribution function of beam-injected deuterons. Assuming the ITER like DT plasma, beam-injection energy is 1.0 MeV, and beam power is 33 MW.](Image)

![Fig. 5 The model used for MVP neutron transport calculations assuming the ITER condition. The $\phi$ shows the angle between the collimator direction and normal from center of torus.](Image)
2.2.2 Calculation of the incident neutron spectrum on the detector

The incident DD neutron spectrum on the detector (signal) and the slowing-down component of the DT neutrons (noise) were calculated using the Monte Carlo transport code MVP [26] with reference to the JENDL-4.0 [27] nuclear data library. The neutron spectra were used as the source in the neutron transport calculations. The incident DD neutron spectra counts on the detector were corrected for geometric detection efficiency based on a torus volume source with uniform emissivity [20]. Figure 6 shows the count ratio when using the torus volume and ring sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Blanket material component.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be armor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shield structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 The count ratio when using the torus volume (uniform emissivity) and ring sources. \( r_i \) indicates the minor radius of torus volume source. \( \text{Count}_{\text{torus(ring)}} \) indicates the neutron count number at the detection point when using torus volume (ring) source. Y-axis is ratio to counts using the ring source \( (r_i/\text{rm}=0.0) \).

collimator direction, \( \varphi \), was set to be the angle created by the radial line from the center of the toroidal surface when viewed from the blanket (upper view), as shown in Fig. 5. The collimator was a pillar type with an inner diameter of 10 cm, an outer diameter of 20 cm, and length of 225 cm. These conditions were set based on Ref. [21].

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Influence of the modification at the center of the energy region

3.1.1 Double-differential neutron emission spectra

Figure 7(a) shows the double-differential emission spectrum of the neutrons produced by the D(d,n)He reactions as a function of the neutron emission angle energy and direction. Here \( \theta_{lab} \) represents the angle between the direction of the emitted neutron and that of the beam injection in the laboratory system. The emission spectra for the neutron emission angles of \( \theta_{lab}=0^\circ, 90^\circ \), and \( 180^\circ \) are shown in Fig. 7(b). These spectra were calculated using the velocity distribution function shown in Fig. 4 \( (T_e=10 \text{ keV}, n_e=7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}, E_{\text{NBI}}=1.0 \text{ MeV}, \text{ and } P_{\text{NBI}}=33 \text{ MW}) \). A “ridge” appeared in the central energy region (close to 2.5 MeV), with a peak at around \( \theta_{lab}=90^\circ \). This phenomenon could be attributed to the change of shape of the emission spectrum depending on the emission direction. At \( \theta_{lab}=0^\circ \) \( (180^\circ) \), the emission spectra were distorted from a Gaussian distribution to the high (low) energy side, with no modification effect was detected in the central energy region. Meanwhile, at \( \theta_{lab}=90^\circ \). The neutron emission energy was approximately 2.5 MeV and the modification appeared around the central energy region, which resulted in a 2.4 - 2.5 MeV emission energy increase in neutron emissions. The emission rate of these neutrons at approximately \( \theta_{lab}=90^\circ \) was higher than that at other emission directions (Fig. 7(b)).

Figure 8 shows the neutron emission rate as a function of the emission angle, which was obtained by integrating the spectra over, first, the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV range and, second, the full energy range. The solid lines represent the emission rate when considering an anisotropic D(d,n)He differential cross-section, while the dashed lines represent the rate when assuming an isotropic D(d,n)He differential cross-section. The emission rates while considering an isotropic beam injection (emission spectrum) are indicated by the dotted lines on the same planes. From Fig. 8(a), we can see that the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutron emission rate was maximized at \( \theta_{lab}=100^\circ \), even though the forward emission was dominated (Fig. 8(b)) by the anisotropy of the D(d,n)He differential cross-section. An approximate
Fig. 7 Double differential neutron emission spectra produced by the D(d,n)^3He reactions as a function of neutron energy and emission angle (a) all emission directions and (b) in directions of $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, and $180^\circ$. The $\theta_{\text{lab}}$, represents the angle between the direction of emitted neutron and that of beam-injection in the laboratory system. $P_{\text{NB}} = 33$ MW, $E_{\text{NBI}} = 1.0$ MeV, $T_e = 10$ keV, and $n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ are assumed.

15% (20%) difference in the emission rate of the 2.4-2.5 MeV neutrons between $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 100^\circ$ and $0^\circ$ can be observed when the anisotropic (isotropic) D(d,n)^3He differential cross-section is assumed. Since the intensity of the Gaussian component formed by the D(d,n)^3He reaction in thermal plasma is small, the influence of the modification in the central energy region via NBI heating is considerable. Therefore, the increase in the 2.4-2.5 MeV neutron emission rate at around $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 100^\circ$ becomes significant difference, one that would appear to be direction-dependent. Furthermore, assuming an isotropic D(d,n)^3He differential cross-section, the ratio of the forward neutron emission to the total neutron emission decreases, while the ratio of the neutron emission around the vertical direction ($\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90^\circ$) increases. Therefore, the difference in the emission rate of the 2.4-2.5 MeV neutrons between $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 100^\circ$ and $0^\circ$ increases. Herein, the emission rate of neutrons at 2.4-2.5 MeV increased by 8% relative to the isotropic emission spectrum at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 100^\circ$.

3.1.2 Effect of electron density, temperature, and neutral-beam-injection power and energy on the central energy region modification

In this section, we examined how the modification of the emission spectrum at the central energy region depends
on $T_e$, $n_e$, $E_{\text{NBI}}$, and $P_{\text{NBI}}$. A parameter $\varepsilon$ (ratio of 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutrons produced at $\theta = 100^\circ$ to those produced at $\theta = 0^\circ$) to express the degree of modification:

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{\int_{2.4\text{MeV}}^{2.5\text{MeV}} \frac{d^2N_n}{dEd\Omega_{\text{lab}}} (E, \theta_{\text{lab}}) |_{\theta_{\text{lab}}=100^\circ} dE}{\int_{2.4\text{MeV}}^{2.5\text{MeV}} \frac{d^2N_n}{dEd\Omega_{\text{lab}}} (E, \theta_{\text{lab}}) |_{\theta_{\text{lab}}=0^\circ} dE}.
$$

(6)

Figure 9 shows the $\varepsilon$ value as a function of the plasma temperature for various electron densities. For the calculations, an ITER-like DT plasma ($E_{\text{NBI}} = 1.0\text{ MeV}$ and $P_{\text{NBI}} = 33\text{ MW}$) was assumed. When a $T_e$ of 5 keV and an $n_e$ of $4.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$ were assumed, the $\varepsilon$ value was found to be approximately 2.1; while, when a $T_e$ of 20 keV and an $n_e$ of $1.0 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$ were assumed, the $\varepsilon$ value was found to be only 1.05. Thus, the ratio of 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutrons produced at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 100^\circ$ to that produced at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 0^\circ$ is remarkably large in low-density and low-temperature regions. In low-density plasma, since the number of incident fast ions is constant, the bulk ion component density decreases. Besides, since the slowing down of the fast ions is mitigated, the ratio of fast-ion density to the total density increases. In addition, the mean energy of the bulk ion component decreases in low-temperature plasma; while the ratio of fast-ion density to total density decreases since a slowing down of the fast ions is promoted. Therefore, as these phenomena decrease the intensity of the Gaussian component produced by the reaction between the bulk ion components, the modification effect in the central energy region increases.

Figure 10 shows the $\varepsilon$ value as a function of the NBI power for several beam-injection energies, i.e., $E_{\text{NBI}} = 1.0$, 1.5, and 2.0 MeV for the ITER-like DT plasma ($T_e = 10\text{ keV}$, $n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, $V_p = 800$ m$^{-3}$). When $E_{\text{NBI}} = 1.0\text{ MeV}$ and $P_{\text{NBI}} = 50\text{ (100) MW}$, the $\varepsilon$ value was evaluated to be 1.23 (1.45). The degree of the modification increased when the fast-ion population was enhanced with the increase in beam power. Meanwhile, when the beam energy increased, the number of incident fast ions decreased, as did the degree of the modification. In the case of $E_{\text{NBI}} > 1.0\text{ MeV}$, the effect of the $\text{D(d,n)}^3\text{He}$ cross-section increase was small, while the ratio of the forward emission to total emission increased due to the anisotropic $\text{D(d,n)}^3\text{He}$ double-differential cross-section (Fig. 3).

### 3.2 Application to fuel ion ratio diagnostic using neutron measurement

In this section, we discuss the improvement in the measurement performance of the fuel-ion ratio diagnostics when using the anisotropic neutron emission spectra. Figure 11 shows the incident neutron emission spectrum at the detector point as a function of the energy and detector installation direction $\varphi$, i.e., the angle between the collimator direction and the normal direction from the center of the torus. A collimator length of 225 cm, radius of 5.0 cm, and bin width of 40 keV were assumed. The neutron spectrum was calculated based on the anisotropic spectrum when $T_e = 10\text{ keV}$, $n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$, and $n_i/n_d = 1.0$. As shown in Fig. 11, the peak count appeared at around $\varphi = -5^\circ$, which was because the angle at which the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutron emission rate was the maximum appeared behind the vertical direction ($\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90^\circ$) to the direction of the injected beam. With this, the detector direction was fixed at $\varphi = -5^\circ$, and the improvement
Fig. 11 Double differential incident neutron spectra at the detection point. $\phi$ shows the angle between the collimator direction and normal from center of torus. And, the bin-wide is 40 keV: Plasma parameters are $P_{NBI} = 33$ MW, $E_{NBI} = 1.0$ MeV, $T_e = 10$ keV, and $n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$.

Fig. 12 Neutron spectra at the detection point. Neutron source was calculated based on each spectrum. (Anisotropic, Isotropic and Gaussian); $P_{NBI} = 33$ MW, $E_{NBI} = 1.0$ MeV, $T_e = 10$ keV, and $n_e = 7.0 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$ are assumed.

in the measurement performance of the fuel-ion ratio diagnostics was evaluated. Figure 12 shows the incident neutron spectra at the detector point for each spectrum (anisotropic, isotropic, and Gaussian) and the slowing-down component of DT neutrons ($\phi = -5^\circ$). In terms of the anisotropic spectrum, an approximate 5\% improvement was observed in the count rate compared to the isotropic emission spectrum. As Fig. 8(a) shows, the emission rate of the 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutrons increased by 8\% compared to the isotropic emission spectrum at $\theta_{lab} = 100^\circ$. The improvement in the count rate reflected this result. Besides, when compared to the Gaussian spectrum, the anisotropic-related count increased by approximately 15\%.

In this study, the S/N value, $\gamma_{S/N}$, is given by

$$\gamma_{S/N} = \frac{\int_{2460+120 \text{ keV}}^{2460-120 \text{ keV}} \frac{dN_{DD}}{dE}(E) dE}{\int_{2460+120 \text{ keV}}^{2460-120 \text{ keV}} \frac{dN_{DT}}{dE}(E) dE},$$

(7)

where $dN/dE(E)$ is the incident DD (DT) neutron energy spectrum on the detector. As demonstrated in a previous study [20], the DD neutron and the slowing-down component of the DT neutron can be distinguished when $\gamma_{S/N} > 0.4$. As shown in Fig. 12, the value of $\gamma_{S/N}$ for the anisotropic emission, isotropic emission, and Gaussian spectra were 0.43, 0.41, and 0.38, respectively. Herein, $\gamma_{S/N} > 0.4$ could be achieved using the NBI heating (isotropic or anisotropic spectrum). The contours where $\gamma_{S/N} = 0.4$ obtained by each spectrum measurement (anisotropic, isotropic, and Gaussian) as a function of temperature and $n_i/n_d$ are shown in Fig. 13. The diagonal lines (green color) in Fig. 13 indicate the measurable region using the anisotropic neutron emission spectrum. Due to the consideration of the anisotropy, the measurement performance was improved, especially in the low-temperature region, which was because, as noted in Sec. 3.1.2, the effect of the modification at the central energy region is significant in low-temperature regions. As a result, while the detectable parameters were $n_i/n_d = 1.0$ and $T_e \leq 12$ keV when measuring the isotropic neutron emission spectrum, the range of the detectable parameters was $n_i/n_d = 1.0$ and $T_e \leq 15$ keV when measuring the anisotropic neutron emission spectrum. Also, with a low temperature ($T_e = 5$ keV), it is possible to attain $n_i/n_d = 2.0$. These results show that considering an anisotropic emission spectrum of neutrons is effective in improving measurement performance of diagnostic fuel-ion ratios.
4. Conclusion

In this study, by assuming an ITER-like NBI-heated DT plasma, the modification at the central energy region of the neutron emission spectra (D(d,n)He reaction) was evaluated. The modification at the central energy region led to a directional dependence for the emission rate of the neutrons with emission energy of 2.4 - 2.5 MeV, with the maximum emission rate at θ_{lab} = 100°. The degree of the direction dependence increased as the ion temperature and electron density decreased. The difference in the emission rate between θ_{lab} = 0° and θ_{lab} = 100° reached 20% (200%) when T_e = 10 (5) keV, n_e = 7.0 (5.0) × 10^{19} m^{-3}, E_{NBI} = 1.0 MeV, and P_{NBI} = 33 MW. Besides, the modification effect in the central energy region was considerable when the NBI power was increased. The difference in emission rate between θ_{lab} = 0° and 100° reached 25% (50%) when E_{NBI} = 1.0 MeV, P_{NBI} = 50 (100) MW, T_e = 10 keV, and n_e = 7.0 × 10^{19} m^{-3}.

We also demonstrated that by considering the direction dependence of the emission rate of 2.4 - 2.5 MeV neutrons, the performance of the fuel-ion ratio diagnostic method using neutron measurement can be improved. When the angle between the collimator installation direction and the radiation direction (vertical line) at the center of the torus was 5°, the number of incidents 2.32 - 2.57 MeV neutrons increased by about 5% compared to the isotropic emission. As a result, the S/N value was improved, and S/N > 0.4 was achieved even when n_i/n_d = 1.0 and T_r = 5 keV. Also, with a low temperature (e.g., T_e = 10 keV), measuring up to n_i/n_d = 2.0 was possible when measuring anisotropic neutron emission spectra.

The effect of modification of the neutron emission spectra in the central energy region is induced by the fast ions in the burning plasma. Thus, measuring neutrons in this region of energy could potentially be applied to rapid diagnosis. Further detailed research is required, however, to better understand the behavior of anisotropy in the central energy region.
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